

BIOMASS ESTIMATION IN AQUACULTURE FACILITIES

A. Løvik

Bird Laboratories A/S, Wergelandsv. 3, 7500 Stjørdal, Norway

Abstract. The paper discusses the problem of size determination of fishes without any handling requirements. Specifically acoustical remote sensing based on the resonance of the swimbladder is shown theoretically and experimentally.

Keywords. Acoustics, fish, length distribution, aquaculture.

INTRODUCTION

Aquaculture is a growing industry in Norway and many other countries. The species to be produced varies from country to country and so does the production plant and methods. In facilities where fish are produced a number similar instrumentation needs exist for

- * determination of water quality.
- * surveillance of the plant.
- * food optimisation.
- * stock estimation
 - total biomass
 - size distribution.
- * early warning of deceases.
- * others.

The justification for improved instrumentation is given by a number of factors like

- * increased distance to the base facility.
- * use of automatic feeding systems.
- * demand in the market for a continuously supply.
- * increased competition.

In this paper possible solutions to the determination of biomass and fish size distribution will be discussed. The instrumentation is intended for fish with swimbladder like salmon, trout or cod, and results obtained will be taken from measurements on different species, both free swimming in a shoal or in cages. In the following a discussion of the measuring problem will be given followed by a discussion on possible measuring methods, acoustical aspects and some preliminary results.

MEASURING PROBLEM

The aim for the instrumentation is to give an estimate of the total biomass in a cage and the size distribution of the fishes within a cage or a vessel. The problem is divided into two parts

- * a coarse estimate for surveillance.
- * a precise assessment when the fish is sorted in size groups.

The first instrumentation will give the

total biomass in a cage and the size distribution without any handling of the fishes. The second will count the fish and determine its size when it is passing through a measuring zone while being sorted in size groups. This measurement will give the most accurate result of the two, but it involves some kind of handling. In the following an outline of solutions to the first problem will be given. The results from this remote sensing system will give the total biomass, and the size distribution from which growth rate, changes in biomass and the need for size sorting may be estimated.

MEASURING METHOD

Remote sensing of biomass is a well known problem in fisheries research, where today acoustic methods are in use. The echo integration technique using calibrated echo sounders gives estimates of the total biomass. In order to find the size distribution of the surveyed fish population the only acoustical method in use today is based on the analyses of echoes from single fishes. Thus the method is limited to spatially thin schools. As the acoustic frequency used is normally in the order of 20 - 100 kHz the back scattering is directive and special statistical and acoustical methods have to be applied in order to find the representative back scattering strength.

In most cages used in Norwegian aquaculture facilities the fish density is very high and the traditional methods are not applicable.

Thus methods measuring on the ensemble have to be used. The method to be described is shown schematically in Fig. 1.

The method may also be extended for use in any enclosed area like a large basin or a fjord with a narrow inlet.

The fishes are ensonified with low frequency sound, 0.1 - 10 kHz, i.e. in the resonance frequency region of the swimbladders of the fishes, the backscattering is almost omnidirectional, and as demonstrated in Fig. 1 a large fish will have a high backscattering strength and a low resonance frequency. In a closed

volume either backscattering or absorption may be the significant variable. The received echo or attenuated signal is frequency analysed, and compared with the acoustic model of the process to give an estimate of the fish size distribution.




Fig. 1. Basic processes of fish length estimation by resonance echo sounding.

ACOUSTIC PROPERTIES OF THE SWIM-BLADDER IN THE RESONANCE REGION

On the basis of previous investigation (Andreeva, 1964; McCartney and Stubbs, 1971; Holliday, 1972) together with own ones (Løvik and Hovem, 1979) it may be concluded that the properties of the swimbladder of fish may be adequately described by modelling the bladder as a gas filled sphere.

Fig. 2 summarizes the relationship between the resonance frequency and the fish length of various species. The obtained resonance frequencies are normalized to a reference depth (1 m). The results indicate an inverse relationship between resonance frequency, f_0 , and fish length, L

$$f_0 = K_1/L \tag{1}$$

where K_1 is found to be between 110 and 120 when f_0 is in Hz and L in m. The spread of the data points due to different species is not found to be consistent. The reasons for the lower resonance frequencies for cod and the higher ones for herring may be that the resonance frequencies of gas cavities having equal total volume will increase as the cavity becomes more elongated (Weston, 1967).

The inverse linear relationship, Eq. (1), implies that the effective swimbladder radius is proportional to the fish length and that the volume is proportional to

the length cubed. This is certainly not always fulfilled due for instance to seasonal variation in fat content, thus adjustments of Eq. (1) are expected.




Fig. 2. Resonance frequency versus fish length.

The adaption to depth has been studied by transferring the fish from one depth to which it has been adapted to another depth where it was left for a new state of adaption.

Typical results are displayed for the resonance frequency and Q-value of a saithe, 77 mm long, in Fig. 3 and 4.




Fig. 3. Resonance frequency versus depth. --- "the law of constant gas mass" -.- "the law of constant gas volume".

The resonance frequency in Fig. 3 is found to increase with the square root of the ambient pressure for an adapted fish. The transfer is done while the mass of the gas is constant, giving a higher or lower resonance frequency than the adapted one depending on the direction of transfer.




Fig. 4. Q-value versus depth.

The two mechanisms of adaption may be described as follows:

1 - "the law of constant gas volume":

$$f_0(d) = f_0(d_0) \left(\frac{10+d}{10+d_0} \right)^{1/2} \quad (2)$$

where d is depth and $f_0(d_0)$ is the resonance frequency at a depth d_0 .

2 - "the law of constant gas mass":

$$f_0(d) = f_0(d_0) \left(\frac{10+d}{10+d_0} \right)^{5/6} \quad (3)$$

Adaptation is thus a process of adjusting the gas content to maintain a constant volume of the swimbladder, and then neutral buoyancy.

If the fish normally lives at a given depth say in a cage and only swims up in order to catch some food our results from free swimming fish seem to confirm the hypothesis that the fish is adapted to some average depth and migrates around this depth without significant secretion of absorption of gas.

This may be illustrated as in Fig. 5. When the fish is at a greater depth, pressure P_{m2} , than the adaption depth, pressure P_0 , the swimbladder volume is reduced and the fish must increase the effort to swim or may rest for ease on the bottom. At shallower depths, pressure P_{m1} , the volume of the bladder is controlled by muscle activities and possibly some absorption.

The adaption also seems to be dependant on species or type of swimbladder.

The Q-value, Fig. 4, is fairly constant or shows a slight trend to increase with depth for an adapted fish. Again the unadapted values are higher or lower than the adapted ones depending on the direction of transfer.

Measurements of the gas volume from the fishes under study yield a relationship between fish length and an equivalent

swimbladder radius. From this it is possible to find the theoretical target strength of a fish and compare it with observed values, as shown in Fig. 6.




Fig. 5. Adaption mechanisms.

The data is obtained from echo measurements on saithe and the correspondance between the theoretical and the measured values is felt to be good. The model seems to describe the scattering at low frequencies and in the vicinity of the resonance frequency. At frequencies much higher than the resonance region the model is probably less accurate.




Fig. 6. Target strength as a function of frequency.

THE INVERSION MODEL

The main objective is to estimate fish length from measurements at several frequencies covering the expected range of resonance frequencies of fishes present.

Assuming measurement are done at m discrete frequencies of the backscattering cross-section or a related quantity, an echo matrix \underline{S} having elements S_i are formed

$$\underline{S} = (S_1 \ S_2 \ S_3 \ \dots \ S_m)^T \quad (4)$$

At the frequency f_i the total predicted backscattering cross section is expressed as C_i :

$$C_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{ij} N_j \quad (5)$$

σ_{ij} is an element of the scattering matrix $\underline{\sigma}$, i.e. the backscattering cross section at f_i for a fish in the length interval j of totally n intervals. N_j is an element of the number matrix N , i.e. the number of organisms within each length interval j . Eq. (5) is only valid in the case of negligible multiple scattering, no shadowing and incoherent scattering.

The inversion is based on a least squares approximation, trying to minimize the square of the difference between the measured echos \underline{S} and the theoretical echos \underline{C} .

$$\min. (\underline{S} - \underline{C})^2 \quad (6)$$

The solution of the problem is

$$\underline{N} = (\underline{\sigma}^T \underline{\sigma})^{-1} \underline{\sigma}^T \underline{S} \quad (7)$$

Thus knowing the backscattering matrix and having the measured echos, the number of scatterers could be found.

The solution given by Eq. (7) has however a severe limitation in the fact that the number matrix N may be negative, leading to a restatement of Eq. (6)

$$\min. (\underline{S} - \underline{C})^2 \quad (8)$$

when

$$\underline{N} \geq 0$$

If it is assumed that the backscattering is given mainly by scattering from the swimbladder, the backscattering cross section, σ_b , as a function of frequency, f_i , is given by:

$$\sigma_b(f_i) = \frac{a_j^2}{((f_0/f_i)^2 - 1)^2 + \sigma^2} \quad (9)$$

where a_j is the equivalent radius of the swimbladder, f_0 is the resonance frequency and σ is the damping constant.

If the measurements are based on attenuation, the extinction cross section σ_e should be used

$$\sigma_c(f) = \frac{4\pi a(\sigma/\sigma_R)}{((f_0/f)^2 - 1)^2 + \sigma^2} \quad (10)$$

where σ_R is the attenuation factor at resonance.

Both cross sections show a dominant peak at resonance. An illustration of the extinction cross-section is shown in Fig. 7.




Fig. 7. Extinction cross-section.

SOME OBTAINED RESULTS

In this part some results from measurements on free swimming fishes and on salmon in a cage will be given. The two situations involve, for the free swimming fishes, the use of the returned echo and for the caged fishes the attenuation through the cage.

The instrumentation in both cases is a bi-statistic multi frequency sonar system as shown in Fig. 8.




Fig. 8. Block diagram of the sonar system.

The functioning of the system shown in Fig. 8 is as follows:

The recorder synchronizes both the frequency generator and the filter set to a pre-calibrated recording paper, the gating system controls the pulselength, the repetition rate and the depth or range window where the received signals are measured. The measured signals as a function of frequency are presented on the recorder, and recorded on tape. Normally the sonar is not used in a continuous frequency scanning mode, but at a predetermined set of frequencies one at the time. At each frequency typically 50 - 100 transmissions are used to obtain an average response.

A typical set of field measurements consisted of the following:

- calibration of the equipment

- measurement, echo/attenuation of the fish.
- a biological sampling either by trawling or use of landing net, followed manual classification.

The received acoustical signal are processed on shore, so far. The inversion algorithms used are given by Eqs. (8, 9 and 10) with the proper calibration and propagation corrections applied.

The fig. 9 and 10 shows results from free swimming shoals.




Fig. 9. Acoustically determined length distribution and length distribution from trawl catch.




Fig. 10. Acoustically determined length distribution and length distribution from trawl catch.

The correspondance between the trawl data and the acoustically determined is fairly good for both distributions shown. The method also seems to work for small as well as larger fishes.

Fig. 11 shows the results from attenuation measurement on fairly large salmon in a 12 m diameter net cage.

The fish was not ready for slaughter and a limited number of fishes was taken up and measured. The mean fish length was found to be around 35 cm, well in agreement with the acoustically determined length histogram.




Fig. 11. Acoustically determined length distribution for salmon.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The work described has shown that the use of acoustical methods may give fair estimates of the fish size distribution. The method based on the swimbladder resonance yields good results for various species and lengths of the fishes.

It is assumed to give the fish farmer significant knowledge of his stock of fish to judge on growth rate, loss or damage, and the need for size grouping of the population.

In a separate but closely linked project the problem of counting while sorting is being solved, thus eventually a complete and unique biomass estimation and size sorting package will be available to the fish farmer.

REFERENCES

- Andreeva, I.B. (1964). Scattering of Sound by Air Bladders of Fish in Deep Sound-Scattering Ocean Layers. *Sov. Phy.-Acoust.*, 10, 17-20.
- Holliday, D.V. (1972). Resonance Structure in Echoes from Schooled Pelagic Fish. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, 51, (4): 1322-1332.
- Løvik, A. and Hovem, J. (1979). An Investigation of Swimbladder Resonance in Fishes. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, 66, (3): 850-854.
- McCartney, B.S. and Stubbs, A.R. (1971). Measurements of Target Strength of Fish in Donal Aspect, Including Swimbladder Resonance. *J. Sound, Vib.*, 15, 397-420.
- Weston, D.E. (1967). Sound Propagation in the Presence of Bladder Fish. *Underwater Acoustics*, ed. by V.M. Albess, Plenum Press.