
2.1 PROTOCOL LAYERING

We defined the term *protocol* in Chapter 1. In data communication and networking, a protocol defines the rules that both the sender and receiver and all intermediate devices need to follow to be able to communicate effectively. When communication is simple, we may need only one simple protocol; when the communication is complex, we may need to divide the task between different layers, in which case we need a protocol at each layer, or **protocol layering**.


2.1.1 Scenarios

Let us develop two simple scenarios to better understand the need for protocol layering.

First Scenario

In the first scenario, communication is so simple that it can occur in only one layer. Assume Maria and Ann are neighbors with a lot of common ideas. Communication between Maria and Ann takes place in one layer, face to face, in the same language, as shown in Figure 2.1.

Figure 2.1 *A single-layer protocol*



Even in this simple scenario, we can see that a set of rules needs to be followed. First, Maria and Ann know that they should greet each other when they meet. Second, they know that they should confine their vocabulary to the level of their friendship. Third, each party knows that she should refrain from speaking when the other party is speaking. Fourth, each party knows that the conversation should be a dialog, not a monolog: both should have the opportunity to talk about the issue. Fifth, they should exchange some nice words when they leave.


We can see that the protocol used by Maria and Ann is different from the communication between a professor and the students in a lecture hall. The communication in the second case is mostly monolog; the professor talks most of the time unless a student has a question, a situation in which the protocol dictates that she should raise her hand and wait for permission to speak. In this case, the communication is normally very formal and limited to the subject being taught.

Second Scenario

In the second scenario, we assume that Ann is offered a higher-level position in her company, but needs to move to another branch located in a city very far from Maria. The two friends still want to continue their communication and exchange ideas because

they have come up with an innovative project to start a new business when they both retire. They decide to continue their conversation using regular mail through the post office. However, they do not want their ideas to be revealed by other people if the letters are intercepted. They agree on an encryption/decryption technique. The sender of the letter encrypts it to make it unreadable by an intruder; the receiver of the letter decrypts it to get the original letter. We discuss the encryption/decryption methods in Chapter 31, but for the moment we assume that Maria and Ann use one technique that makes it hard to decrypt the letter if one does not have the key for doing so. Now we can say that the communication between Maria and Ann takes place in three layers, as shown in Figure 2.2. We assume that Ann and Maria each have three machines (or robots) that can perform the task at each layer.

Figure 2.2 *A three-layer protocol*



Let us assume that Maria sends the first letter to Ann. Maria talks to the machine at the third layer as though the machine is Ann and is listening to her. The third layer machine listens to what Maria says and creates the plaintext (a letter in English), which is passed to the second layer machine. The second layer machine takes the plaintext, encrypts it, and creates the ciphertext, which is passed to the first layer machine. The first layer machine, presumably a robot, takes the ciphertext, puts it in an envelope, adds the sender and receiver addresses, and mails it.

At Ann's side, the first layer machine picks up the letter from Ann's mail box, recognizing the letter from Maria by the sender address. The machine takes out the ciphertext from the envelope and delivers it to the second layer machine. The second layer machine decrypts the message, creates the plaintext, and passes the plaintext to the third-layer machine. The third layer machine takes the plaintext and reads it as though Maria is speaking.

Protocol layering enables us to divide a complex task into several smaller and simpler tasks. For example, in Figure 2.2, we could have used only one machine to do the job of all three machines. However, if Maria and Ann decide that the encryption/decryption done by the machine is not enough to protect their secrecy, they would have to change the whole machine. In the present situation, they need to change only the second layer machine; the other two can remain the same. This is referred to as *modularity*. Modularity in this case means independent layers. A layer (module) can be defined as a black box with inputs and outputs, without concern about how inputs are changed to outputs. If two machines provide the same outputs when given the same inputs, they can replace each other. For example, Ann and Maria can buy the second layer machine from two different manufacturers. As long as the two machines create the same ciphertext from the same plaintext and vice versa, they do the job.

One of the advantages of protocol layering is that it allows us to separate the services from the implementation. A layer needs to be able to receive a set of services from the lower layer and to give the services to the upper layer; we don't care about how the layer is implemented. For example, Maria may decide not to buy the machine (robot) for the first layer; she can do the job herself. As long as Maria can do the tasks provided by the first layer, in both directions, the communication system works.

Another advantage of protocol layering, which cannot be seen in our simple examples but reveals itself when we discuss protocol layering in the Internet, is that communication does not always use only two end systems; there are intermediate systems that need only some layers, but not all layers. If we did not use protocol layering, we would have to make each intermediate system as complex as the end systems, which makes the whole system more expensive.

Is there any disadvantage to protocol layering? One can argue that having a single layer makes the job easier. There is no need for each layer to provide a service to the upper layer and give service to the lower layer. For example, Ann and Maria could find or build one machine that could do all three tasks. However, as mentioned above, if one day they found that their code was broken, each would have to replace the whole machine with a new one instead of just changing the machine in the second layer.

2.1.2 Principles of Protocol Layering

Let us discuss two principles of protocol layering.

First Principle

The first principle dictates that if we want bidirectional communication, we need to make each layer so that it is able to perform two opposite tasks, one in each direction. For example, the third layer task is to listen (in one direction) and *talk* (in the other direction). The second layer needs to be able to encrypt and decrypt. The first layer needs to send and receive mail.

Second Principle

The second principle that we need to follow in protocol layering is that the two objects under each layer at both sites should be identical. For example, the object under layer 3 at both sites should be a plaintext letter. The object under layer 2 at

both sites should be a ciphertext letter. The object under layer 1 at both sites should be a piece of mail.

2.1.3 Logical Connections

After following the above two principles, we can think about logical connection between each layer as shown in Figure 2.3. This means that we have layer-to-layer communication. Maria and Ann can think that there is a logical (imaginary) connection at each layer through which they can send the object created from that layer. We will see that the concept of logical connection will help us better understand the task of layering we encounter in data communication and networking.

Figure 2.3 Logical connection between peer layers

